arXiv:1406.5831v2 [cond-mat.supr-con] 1 Jul 2014

A Diagrammer's Note on Superconducting Fluctuation Transport for Beginners: Supplement. Boltzmann Equation and Fermi-Liquid Theory

O. Narikiyo *

(June 23, 2014)

Abstract

The effect of the collision term of the Boltzmann equation is discussed for the diagonal conductivity in the absence of magnetic field and the off-diagonal conductivity within the linear order of magnetic field. The consistency between the Boltzmann equation and the Fermi-liquid theory is confirmed. The electron-electron interaction is totally taken into account via the self-energy of the electron. The Umklappness is taken into account as the geometrical factor. The current-vertex correction in the fluctuation-exchange (FLEX) approximation violates these conventional schemes.

1 Introduction

This Note is the Supplement to `arXiv:1112.1513` and `arXiv:1203.0127`. In the section 6 of the former and the footnote 4 of the latter, the exact treatment of the collision term of the Boltzmann equation (BE) is discussed and its consistency with the Fermi-liquid theory (FLT) is commented. In this Note the comment is expanded to be traceable. Especially it is stressed that the electron-electron interaction is totally taken into account via the self-energy of the electron. The Umklappness[1] is taken into account as the

*Department of Physics, Kyushu University, Fukuoka 812-8581, Japan

[1] In this note I use the term "Umklappnessh instead of "Umklapp scatteringh to stress the fact that it is nothing but the ambiguity of the momentum-conservation modulo reciprocal lattice vectors.

geometrical factor. As a by-product it becomes clear how the fluctuation-exchange (FLEX) approximation violates these conventional schemes[2].

Although the other Notes in the series are written to be self-contained, this Note skips the calculations to obtain the results cited.

2 Collision Term

The linearized Boltzmann equation[3] in static and uniform electromagnetic field[4] is

$$e\mathbf{E}\cdot\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{p}}\frac{\partial f(\xi_{\mathbf{p}})}{\partial\xi_{\mathbf{p}}}+e\big(\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{p}}\times\mathbf{H}\big)\cdot\frac{\partial g_{\mathbf{p}}}{\partial\mathbf{p}}=C_{\mathbf{p}}. \tag{1}$$

The collision term $C_{\mathbf{p}}$ is given as

$$C_{\mathbf{p}}=\sum_{\mathbf{p}'}\Big\{C_{\mathbf{p}\mathbf{p}'}g_{\mathbf{p}'}-C_{\mathbf{p}'\mathbf{p}}g_{\mathbf{p}}\Big\}\equiv-\sum_{\mathbf{p}'}\big(\tau_{\rm tr}^{-1}\big)_{\mathbf{p}\mathbf{p}'}g_{\mathbf{p}'}, \tag{2}$$

with

$$\big(\tau_{\rm tr}^{-1}\big)_{\mathbf{p}\mathbf{p}'}=\frac{1}{\tau_{\mathbf{p}}}\delta_{\mathbf{p},\mathbf{p}'}-C_{\mathbf{p}\mathbf{p}'}, \tag{3}$$

and

$$\frac{1}{\tau_{\mathbf{p}}}\equiv\sum_{\mathbf{p}'}C_{\mathbf{p}'\mathbf{p}}. \tag{4}$$

It is evident in (2) that the scattered-in term and the scattered-out term are the same interaction process[5] but with different directions. More direct

[2] I have made other criticisms on the current-vertex correction in the FLEX approximation in `arXiv:1204.5300` and `arXiv:1301.5996`.

[3] As discussed in the section 5 in `arXiv:1112.1513` the temperature gradient is incorporated by the substitution

$$e\mathbf{E}'=e\mathbf{E}-\xi_{\mathbf{p}}\frac{\nabla T}{T},$$

and the effect of the collision term can be analyzed in the same manner as in this Note. See Pikulin, Hou and Beenakker: Phys. Rev. B **84**, 035133 (2011).

[4] The complication arising from inhomogeneity or time-dependence of electromagnetic field is beyond the scope of the series of Notes. See, for example, Kita: Prog. Theor. Phys. **123**, 581 (2010) for more general case.

[5] If the scattering is restricted on the Fermi sphere, all the scattering events are treated on equal footing completely and the collision term for the component g_{lm} of spherical harmonics Y_{lm} becomes

$$-\frac{1}{\tau_l}g_{lm},$$

as (6.20) in [2]. This result strongly suggests that the effect of the interaction is essentially taken into account by the life-time and the other have only geometrical effects.

representation of this point is seen in the expression of the collision term in the quantum Boltzmann equation

$$\Sigma^< G^> - \Sigma^> G^<, \tag{5}$$

as (8.293) in [3]. Namely, the effect of the interaction is totally expressed in terms of the self-energy Σ. The life-time $\tau_{\mathbf{p}}$ is determined by the imaginary part of the self-energy.

Thus the current-vertex correction in the FLEX approximation seems to be out of control. It violates the scheme of BE where the collision term is expressed in terms of the self-energy. It also violates the scheme of FLT, because FLT and BE give the same result for the conductivity tensor as discussed in the following. The correct current-vertex correction should be expressed in terms of the self-energy.

3 Diagonal Conductivity

The linearized Boltzmann equation is solved exactly [4] as discussed in the section 6 of `arXiv:1112.1513` and the conductivity tensor per spin is expressed as

$$\sigma^{\mu\nu} = e^2 \sum_{\mathbf{p}'} \sum_{\mathbf{p}} v^{\mu}_{\mathbf{p}'} A^{-1}_{\mathbf{p}'\mathbf{p}} v^{\nu}_{\mathbf{p}} \Big(- \frac{\partial f(\xi_{\mathbf{p}})}{\partial \xi_{\mathbf{p}}} \Big), \tag{6}$$

with

$$A_{\mathbf{p}\mathbf{p}'} = \big(\tau_{\rm tr}^{-1}\big)_{\mathbf{p}\mathbf{p}'} - e \left(\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{p}} \times \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{p}} \right) \cdot \mathbf{H} \, \delta_{\mathbf{p}\mathbf{p}'}. \tag{7}$$

The diagonal conductivity in the absence of magnetic field is obtained as

$$\sigma^{xx} = e^2 \sum_{\mathbf{p}'} \sum_{\mathbf{p}} v^{x}_{\mathbf{p}'} \big(\tau_{\rm tr}\big)_{\mathbf{p}'\mathbf{p}} v^{x}_{\mathbf{p}} \Big(- \frac{\partial f(\xi_{\mathbf{p}})}{\partial \xi_{\mathbf{p}}} \Big). \tag{8}$$

Diagrammatically (8) is expressed as Fig. 1. This result of BE is consistent with that of FLT with $\mathbf{k} = 0$ and $\omega = 0$ seen in (24) of [5] with (27) and in (4.38) of [6].

The expression (8) is not desirable, because it is not reduced into single-particle quantities. Such a reduction is the scheme of BE and can be accomplished easily in the isotropic case as

$$\sigma^{xx} = e^2 \sum_{\mathbf{p}} v^{x}_{\mathbf{p}} \tilde{\tau}_{\mathbf{p}} v^{x}_{\mathbf{p}} \Big(- \frac{\partial f(\xi_{\mathbf{p}})}{\partial \xi_{\mathbf{p}}} \Big), \tag{9}$$



Figure 1: The particle-hole pair in the left diagram, which represents the skeleton contribution to σ^{xx}, results in $\tau_{\mathbf{p}}$. The interaction between particle-hole pair in the right diagram represents the infinite repetition of $C_{\mathbf{pp}'}$ and results in the renormalization of $\tau_{\mathbf{p}}$ into $\tilde{\tau}_{\mathbf{p}}$. Every dot at the ends of the diagrams represents $ev^x_{\mathbf{p}}$.

where $\tilde{\tau}_{\mathbf{p}}$ is a scalar. This result[6] of BE is consistent with that of FLT seen in (6.23) of [6] which takes into account the effect of Umklappness properly. Here the electron-electron interaction is totally taken into account via the self-energy of the electron; $\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{p}}$ is renormalized[7] by the real part of the self-energy and $\tilde{\tau}_{\mathbf{p}}$ is determined[8] by the imaginary part of the self-energy.

The symmetric form of (9) is expected from the vector character of the current vertex $v^x_{\mathbf{p}}$; the none-zero contribution after the $\mathbf{p}$-summation comes from the pair of observed current $e\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{p}}$ and the current $e\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{p}}$ coupled to the electric field with the same momentum. In other words the cause and the effect are in the same direction in isotropic systems[9]. Perturbationally both currents should be $e\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{p}}$ at the vertices of the observation and the coupling to the electric field. In terms of BE the electric current is given by $e\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{p}}g_{\mathbf{p}}$ where the first order deviation of the distribution function $g_{\mathbf{p}}$ is proportional to the strength of the perturbation $e\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{p}} \cdot \mathbf{A}$ where $\mathbf{E} = -\partial\mathbf{A}/\partial t$. In any way the contribution to the conductivity σ^{xx} is proportional to $(ev^x_{\mathbf{p}})^2$.

Even in anisotropic cases[10] the reduction can be accomplished symmet-

[6] Such a symmetry in $v^x_{\mathbf{p}}$ is obvious in the memory-function formalism [7].

[7] Here the group velocity $\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{p}}$ is determined by the renormalized band $\varepsilon(\mathbf{p})$ of the quasi-particle as $\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{p}} = \partial\varepsilon(\mathbf{p})/\partial\mathbf{p}$.

[8] We should evaluate an additional factor $C_{\mathbf{p}}$ resulted from the Umklappness so that $\tilde{\tau}_{\mathbf{p}} = \tau_{\mathbf{p}}/C_{\mathbf{p}}$ as seen in (6.23) of [6]. It should be noted that the effects of interaction and Umklappness are separable. The former determines the life-time $\tau_{\mathbf{p}}$ and the latter determines the factor $C_{\mathbf{p}}$ which reflects the momentum-conservation with additional reciprocal lattice vectors.

[9] Even for anisotropic case, using the orthonormal vector set based on the Fermi-surface harmonics [8], it is concluded that σ^{xx} is proportional to $(ev^x_{\mathbf{p}})^2$.

[10] The symmetric form is obtained even for anisotropic cases; the conductivity is given as (1.4) in Okabe: J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **68**, 2721 (1999) where $l^x_{\mathbf{p}}$ is decomposed into $\tilde{\tau}_{\mathbf{p}}v^x_{\mathbf{p}}$ as the equation between (4.3) and (4.4) in Okabe: J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **67**, 4178 (1998).

rically with the help of the Fermi-surface harmonics [8][11] as seen in (2.1) of [9] which also takes into account the effect of Umklappness properly.

On the other hand, the asymmetric expression[12]

$$\sigma^{xx} = e^2 \sum_{\mathbf{p}} v^x_{\mathbf{p}} \frac{1}{2\gamma_{\mathbf{p}}} J^x_{\mathbf{p}} \Big(- \frac{\partial f(\xi_{\mathbf{p}})}{\partial \xi_{\mathbf{p}}} \Big), \tag{10}$$

seen in (1.2) of [10] is misleading; it gives a wrong impression that there might be something in the current-vertex correction renormalizing $v^x_{\mathbf{p}}$ into $J^x_{\mathbf{p}}$. I suspect that the current-vertex correction in the FLEX approximation [11] is driven by such a wrong impression. Although the vertex correction should be in harmony with the imaginary part of the single-particle self-energy, that in the FLEX approximation is out of control.

4 Off-diagonal Conductivity

Combined with the discussion leading to (9), the solution of BE for σ^{xy} in the section 6 of `arXiv:1112.1513` is reduced into

$$\sigma^{xy} = e^3 \sum_{\mathbf{p}} v^x_{\mathbf{p}} \tilde{\tau}_{\mathbf{p}} \Big(\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{p}} \times \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{p}} \Big) \cdot \mathbf{H} \; \tilde{\tau}_{\mathbf{p}} v^y_{\mathbf{p}} \Big(- \frac{\partial f(\xi_{\mathbf{p}})}{\partial \xi_{\mathbf{p}}} \Big), \tag{11}$$

within the linear order of magnetic field. Diagrammatically (11) is expressed as Fig. 2. This result of BE is consistent with that of FLT[13] seen in (35) of [12][14]. Within the linear response the collision term is evaluated in the absence of the external electromagnetic fields so that there is no new interaction effect by the introduction of the magnetic field. Namely, the introduction of the magnetic field is not a many-body problem but a single-body problem[15] as discussed in the next section.

[11] On the basis of the Fermi-surface harmonics, all the elements in the theory are expressed as the polynomials of the velocity which is invariant under the transformation, $\mathbf{p} \to \mathbf{p} + \mathbf{K}$, with $\mathbf{K}$ being a reciprocal lattice vector. Thus it becomes clear that the Umklappness is nothing but the condition for the summation over $\mathbf{p}$.

[12] By introducing the expansion of $J^x_{\mathbf{p}}$ in terms of the Fermi-surface harmonics, it is shown that all the terms orthogonal to $v^x_{\mathbf{p}}$ do not contribute to σ^{xx} in Maebashi and Fukuyama: J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **66**, 3577 (1997).

[13] Since the current-vertex correction there is not reduced into the single-particle quantities, (1.7) in [10] is misleading as discussed in the previous section.

[14] An important point stressed in this reference is the absence of the interaction renormalization in the Hall coefficient.

[15] The effect of the Lorentz force on the single-body state is the problem.



Figure 2: Here I show only two typical diagrams which contribute to σ^{xy}. The skeleton process in the left diagram results in $(\tau_{\mathbf{p}})^2$. The right diagram contains the skeleton structure at its center and the interactions at both ends result in the renormalization of $(\tau_{\mathbf{p}})^2$ into $(\tilde{\tau}_{\mathbf{p}})^2$. Only the most divergent contribution in terms of $1/\tau_{\mathbf{p}}$ is taken into account in FLT and the skeleton structure is necessary for such a contribution. In each diagram the left-end and right-end dots represent $ev^x_{\mathbf{p}}$ and $ev^y_{\mathbf{p}}$ respectively and the center dot represents the coupling $e\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{p}} \cdot \mathbf{A}$ where $\mathbf{H} = i(\mathbf{k} \times \mathbf{A})$.

This expression (11) is unsatisfactory by the following two reasons. (i) The Onsager relation, $\sigma^{yx} = -\sigma^{xy}$, is not evident. (ii) The derivative of $\tilde{\tau}_{\mathbf{p}}$ is not found in the diagrammatic analysis. These are resolved as follows.

I anti-symmetrize σ^{xy} by force[16] into $\hat{\sigma}^{xy} \equiv (\sigma^{xy} - \sigma^{yx})/2$ where

$$\sigma^{yx} = e^3 \sum_{\mathbf{p}} v^y_{\mathbf{p}} \tilde{\tau}_{\mathbf{p}} \Big(\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{p}} \times \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{p}} \Big) \cdot \mathbf{H}\ \tilde{\tau}_{\mathbf{p}} v^x_{\mathbf{p}} \Big(- \frac{\partial f(\xi_{\mathbf{p}})}{\partial \xi_{\mathbf{p}}} \Big). \tag{12}$$

If $\mathbf{H} = (0, 0, H)$, we obtain

$$\hat{\sigma}^{xy} = \frac{e^3 H}{2} \sum_{\mathbf{p}} \tilde{\tau}^2_{\mathbf{p}}\, h(\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{p}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{p}}) \Big(- \frac{\partial f(\xi_{\mathbf{p}})}{\partial \xi_{\mathbf{p}}} \Big). \tag{13}$$

with

$$h(\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{p}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{p}}) \equiv (v^x_{\mathbf{p}})^2 \frac{\partial v^y_{\mathbf{p}}}{\partial p^y} - v^x_{\mathbf{p}} v^y_{\mathbf{p}} \frac{\partial v^y_{\mathbf{p}}}{\partial p^x} - v^y_{\mathbf{p}} v^x_{\mathbf{p}} \frac{\partial v^x_{\mathbf{p}}}{\partial p^y} + (v^y_{\mathbf{p}})^2 \frac{\partial v^x_{\mathbf{p}}}{\partial p^x}. \tag{14}$$

This result of BE is consistent with that of FLT seen in (3.21) of [10]. Through this anti-symmetrization the derivatives of $\tilde{\tau}_{\mathbf{p}}$ cancel out.

[16] The same procedure is necessary to obtain a beautiful geometric formula of $\hat{\sigma}^{xy}$ in Ong: Phys. Rev. B **43**, 193 (1991) where the element of the line-integral $\mathrm{d}l_x l_y$ obtained from σ^{xy} is anti-symmetrized into $(\mathrm{d}\vec{l} \times \vec{l})_z$.

5 Coupling to Magnetic Field

The introduction of the magnetic field is discussed by various means. I will comment on three kinds of means in the following. All lead to the consistent result with BE[17]. It should be noted that the introduction of the magnetic field is a single-body problem.

(A) Vector Potential
If we set $\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x}) = \exp(i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x})$ with a constant vector $\mathbf{A}$, the magnetic field $\mathbf{H}$ is given by

$$\mathbf{H} = i(\mathbf{k}\times\mathbf{A}), \tag{15}$$

in the limit of $\mathbf{k}\to 0$. For anisotropic systems the extraction of this factor from Feynman diagrams with full interaction is rather complicated task[18] as done in [10, 14]. However, it is sufficient to show the means in the case of the relaxation-time approximation, because the interaction effect beyond this approximation only leads to the renormalization of $\tau_{\mathbf{p}}$ as has been discussed in the previous section. This task[19] is a straightforward one.

[17] In the derivation of BE via the Wigner function

$$f_{\rm W}(\mathbf{p},\mathbf{R},t) = \int {\rm d}\mathbf{r}\exp\big[-i\mathbf{r}\cdot\big(\mathbf{p}-e\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{R},t)\big)\big]\Big\langle\mathbf{R}+\frac{\mathbf{r}}{2}\Big|\hat{\rho}(t)\Big|\mathbf{R}-\frac{\mathbf{r}}{2}\Big\rangle,$$

the Lorentz force appears as seen in (4.78) of [13].

[18] This task can be circumvented by use of the Ward identity as discussed in Itoh: J. Phys. F **14**, L89 (1984).

[19] For the extraction of the factor, $(k^xA^y-k^yA^x)$, see Fig. 1 of `arXiv:1203.0127`. The processes (a) and (b) lead to the contribution proportional to

$$v^x_{\mathbf{p}}\big(v^x_{\mathbf{p}}A^x+v^y_{\mathbf{p}}A^y\big)\big(\frac{\partial v^y_{\mathbf{p}}}{\partial p^x}k^x+\frac{\partial v^y_{\mathbf{p}}}{\partial p^y}k^y\big),$$

where $\mathbf{A}$-linear term comes from the propagator and $\mathbf{k}$-linear term comes from the current, and the process (c) leads to

$$-v^x_{\mathbf{p}}\big(v^x_{\mathbf{p}}k^x+v^y_{\mathbf{p}}k^y\big)\big(\frac{\partial v^y_{\mathbf{p}}}{\partial p^x}A^x+\frac{\partial v^y_{\mathbf{p}}}{\partial p^y}A^y\big),$$

where $\mathbf{k}$-linear term comes from the propagator and $\mathbf{A}$-linear term comes from the current, for general anisotropic case when $\mathbf{A}=(A^x,A^y,0)$ and $\mathbf{k}=(k^x,k^y,0)$. These results are obtained by the same manner as in the isotropic case, `arXiv:1203.0127`, only by generalizing the electric current (8) there to

$$j^H_\mu(0) = e\sum_\sigma\sum_{\mathbf{p}}\frac{\partial\varepsilon(\mathbf{p}-e\mathbf{A})}{\partial p^\mu}c^\dagger_{\mathbf{p}\sigma}c_{\mathbf{p}\sigma},$$

and lead to (18) in the next footnote times the magnetic field $H=i(k^xA^y-k^yA^x)$ where

(B) Magnetic Flux

The effect of the magnetic field appears as the phase factor of the electron propagator as

$$G(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2) = \exp\big[ie\Phi(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2)\big] G(\mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_2). \tag{16}$$

Namely, the interaction affects only translationally invariant propagator $G(\mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_2)$ and the phase $\Phi(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2)$ is determined geometrically. These two are separable and the propagator in the presence of the magnetic field $G(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2)$ is the product of these. The calculation of the loop diagram which corresponds to the conductivity leads to the magnetic flux as discussed in [12, 15].

(C) Cyclotron Motion

The magnetic field enters into the equation of motion as the cyclotron frequency as

$$\dot{J}_y = \frac{1}{i}\big[J_y, K\big] = \omega_c J_x, \tag{17}$$

$\mathbf{H} = (0, 0, H)$. Here the $\mathbf{k}$-linear expansion of $v^y_{\mathbf{p}}$ in (a) and (b) is generalized as

$$\frac{1}{m}k^y \to \frac{\partial^2 \varepsilon(\mathbf{p})}{\partial p^x \partial p^y} k^x + \frac{\partial^2 \varepsilon(\mathbf{p})}{\partial p^y \partial p^y} k^y,$$

where $\partial\varepsilon(\mathbf{p})/\partial\mathbf{p} = \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{p}}$. The $\mathbf{A}$-linear expansion in (c) is generalized as

$$\frac{1}{m}A^y \to \frac{\partial^2 \varepsilon(\mathbf{p})}{\partial p^x \partial p^y} A^x + \frac{\partial^2 \varepsilon(\mathbf{p})}{\partial p^y \partial p^y} A^y.$$

for isotropic case[20] where **J** is the center-of-mass current[21]. The calculation of the memory function[22] leads to the consistent result [16, 17] with (11).

6 Remarks

Finally it is stressed that the effect of the electron-electron interaction on the conductivity tensor is totally taken into account via the self-energy of the electron. It is completely embodied in the conventional schemes of BE and FLT where the Umklappness and the anisotropy of the Fermi surface are properly taken into account.

The sections of **Exercise** and **Acknowledgements** are common to those in `arXiv:1112.1513` so that I do not repeat here. Eq. (76) in `arXiv:1112.1513` should be

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{J}^e \\ \mathbf{J}^Q \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma & \alpha \\ \tilde{\alpha} & \kappa \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{E} \\ -\nabla T \end{pmatrix}.$$

References

[1] As mentioned in the Introduction, this Note skips the calculations to obtain the results cited. Thus the character of the following references

[20] Even for anisotropic case the equation of motion for the center-of-mass current is easily calculated as

$$\dot{J}_y = eH\big(m_{yx}^{-1}J_y - m_{yy}^{-1}J_x\big).$$

Classically the Lorentz force

$$\hat{m}\dot{\mathbf{v}} = e(\mathbf{v}\times\mathbf{H}),$$

leads to

$$\begin{pmatrix} \dot{v}_x \\ \dot{v}_y \end{pmatrix} = eH \begin{pmatrix} m_{xx}^{-1} & m_{xy}^{-1} \\ m_{yx}^{-1} & m_{yy}^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} v_y \\ -v_x \end{pmatrix},$$

when $\mathbf{H} = (0, 0, H)$. Since σ^{xy} is proportional to the expectation value of $v_x\dot{v}_y$, we obtain the factor

$$v_x\big(m_{yy}^{-1}v_x - m_{yx}^{-1}v_y\big),$$

as seen in (12.5.9) of Ziman: *Electrons and Phonons* (Clarendon, Oxford, 1960). The general expression of this factor becomes

$$v_{\mathbf{p}}^x\Big(v_{\mathbf{p}}^x\frac{\partial}{\partial p^y} - v_{\mathbf{p}}^y\frac{\partial}{\partial p^x}\Big)v_{\mathbf{p}}^y = \frac{\partial\varepsilon(\mathbf{p})}{\partial p^x}\Big(\frac{\partial\varepsilon(\mathbf{p})}{\partial p^x}\frac{\partial^2\varepsilon(\mathbf{p})}{\partial p^y\partial p^y} - \frac{\partial\varepsilon(\mathbf{p})}{\partial p^y}\frac{\partial^2\varepsilon(\mathbf{p})}{\partial p^x\partial p^y}\Big). \tag{18}$$

[21] The equation of motion for the center-of-mass current is independent of the interaction so that the factor $v_x(m_{yy}^{-1}v_x - m_{yx}^{-1}v_y)$ is independent of the interaction. Such a conclusion is a corollary of Kohn's theorem: Phys. Rev. **123**, 1242 (1961).

[22] The scalar memory function is insufficient to construct a consistent transport theory. We have to use the matrix memory function as discussed in [7, 9].

is different from those of the other Notes in this series. I only list the references convenient for my explanation. Neither originality nor priority is considered here.

[2] Peierls: *Quantum Theory of Solids* (Clarendon, Oxford, 1955).

[3] Mahan: *Many-Particle Physics* 3rd (Kluwer/Plenum, New York, 2000).

[4] Kotliar, Sengupta and Varma: Phys. Rev. B **53**, 3573 (1996).

[5] Éliashberg: Sov. Phys. JETP **14**, 886 (1962).

[6] Yamada and Yosida: Prog. Theor. Phys. **76**, 621 (1986).

[7] Hartnoll and Hofman: Phys. Rev. Lett. **108**, 241601 (2012).

[8] Allen: Phys. Rev. B **13**, 1416 (1976).

[9] Maebashi and Fukuyama: J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **67**, 242 (1998).

[10] Kohno and Yamada: Prog. Theor. Phys. **80**, 623 (1988).

[11] Kontani: Pep. Prog. Phys. **71**, 026501 (2008).

[12] Khodas and Finkel'stein: Phys. Rev. B **68**, 155114 (2003).

[13] Rammer: *Quantum Transport Theory* (Perseus Books, Reading Massachusetts, 1998).

[14] Fukuyama, Ebisawa and Wada: Prog. Theor. Phys. **42**, 494 (1969).

[15] Edelstein: JETP Lett. **67**, 159 (1998).

[16] Ting, Ying and Quinn: Phys. Rev. B **16**, 5394 (1977).

[17] Shiwa and Ishihara: J. Phys. C **16**, 4853 (1983).